

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,148	10/05/2004	Ruoxing Wang	21285-901	6425
	7590 04/12/200 S OF KHALILIAN SIF	EXAMINER		
9100 PERSIMMON TREE ROAD			ZEMAN, ROBERT A	
POTOMAC, MD 20854			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/510,148	WANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert A. Zeman	1645
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 43-45 and 49-51 is/a  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 and 46-48 are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration	
Application Papers	•	,
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
AMaaharaan/a)		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I S) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 43-45 and 49-51 are withdrawn for consideration as they constitute improper claims under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, 16-19 and 24, drawn to nucleic acids comprising SEQ ID NO:1 or fragments thereof; vectors comprising said nucleic acids; host cells comprising said vectors and kits comprising said nucleic acids or vectors.

Group II, claim(s) 9-13, 16-19 and 24, drawn to nucleic acids comprising SEQ ID NO:1 (or fragments thereof) operably linked to a sequence encoding a detectable protein; vectors comprising said nucleic acids; host cells comprising said vectors and kits comprising said nucleic acids or vectors.

Group III, claim(s) 14-19 and 24, drawn to nucleic acids comprising SEQ ID NO:1 (or fragments thereof) operably linked to a sequence encoding a protein that inhibits the proliferation of a cell; vectors comprising said nucleic acids; host cells comprising said vectors and kits comprising said nucleic acids or vectors.

Group IV, claim(s) 20-22 and 24, drawn to antibodies that bind PrLZ and kits containing said antibodies.

Art Unit: 1645

Group V, claim(s) 23, drawn to antisense to fragments of SEQ ID NO:2.

Group VI, claim(s) 25-29, drawn to methods of identifying a protein that inhibits the proliferation of a cell utilizing a vector comprising SEQ ID NO:1 and the nucleic acid encoding the test protein.

Group VII, claim(s) 30-32, drawn to methods of determining whether a patient has a prostatic disease comprising determining whether PrLZ expression is elevated.

Group VIII, claim(s) 30-32, drawn to methods of determining whether a patient is at risk of developing a prostatic disease comprising determining whether PrLZ expression is elevated.

Group IX, claim(s) 30-32, drawn to methods of determining whether a patient has a prostatic disease comprising determining whether the PrLZ gene is amplified.

Group X, claim(s) 30-32, drawn to methods of determining whether a patient is at risk of developing a prostatic disease comprising determining whether the PrLZ gene is amplified.

Group XI, claim(s) 33-38, drawn to methods of identifying an agent that regulates the expression of the PrLZ gene utilizing a vector comprising SEQ ID NO:1 and a reporter gene.

Group XII, claim(s) 39-42, drawn to methods of treating a patient with cancer or high grade dysplasia utilizing an agent that inhibits the expression of PrLZ.

Group XIII, claim(s) 39-42, drawn to methods of treating a patient with cancer or high grade dysplasia utilizing an agent that inhibits the activity of PrLZ.

Group XIV, claim(s) 46-48, drawn to methods of treating a patient with cancer or high grade dysplasia affecting a PrLZ-expressing tissue comprising administering a vector comprising SEQ ID NO:1 and a sequence that encodes a protein that inhibits the proliferation of the cell.

## Additional Election Requirements Applicable to Groups I-III, VI-VII and XII-XIV.

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct products/methodologies and a further restriction is applied to each Group.

If Group II is elected, Applicant must further elect a specific detectable protein.

If Group III is elected, Applicant must further elect a specific cell type and a specific protein that inhibits said cell type.

If Group VI is elected, Applicant must further elect a specific cell type.

If any of Groups XII-XIV is elected, Applicant must further elect a specific cancer/cell type.

Art Unit: 1645

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to the specific elections and should not to be construed as a species election.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d), the ISA/US considers that where multiple products and processes are claimed, the main invention shall consist of the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto. Accordingly, the main invention (Group I) comprises the first recited **product**, nucleic acids comprising SEQ ID NO:1 or fragments thereof; vectors comprising said nucleic acids; host cells comprising said vectors and kits comprising said nucleic acids or vectors. Further pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d), the ISA/US considers that any feature which the subsequently recited products and methods share with the main invention does not constitute a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT rule 13.2 and that each of such products and methods accordingly defines a separate invention.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1645

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the

Art Unit: 1645

process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT A. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 1, 2007